

#### Clinical Experience at Aventis Pasteur With Combination Acellular DTP and Hib Conjugate Vaccines

Carlton K. Meschievitz, M.D., M.P.H.
Vice President, Scientific and Medical Affairs
Aventis Pasteur

Aventis Pasteur waives confidentiality of the data contained in these presentation materials. Aventis Pasteur grants FDA the authority to release these presentation materials to the public through electronic and/or other means deemed appropriate.

Maschien mo

36 '00 JAN 24 P1 :56

~





### Age Distribution and Vaccination Status of Children <5 years of Age with Hib Disease, 1996 and 1997\*

	Total Cases	Vaccination Status		
		incomplete	complete	
< 6 months	69 (48%)	-	-	
> 6 months	75 (52%)	48 (64%)	27 (36%)**	

<sup>\*\*</sup>primary series = 13 children; primary series + booster = 14 children

<sup>\*</sup>MMWR, November 27, 1998



## Aventis

#### Alaska Experience\*

Prior to introduction of Hib vaccine (<1991)

- Peak incidence at 4-7 months of age
- 25-40 cases/year
- Carriage (5%)

PedvaxHib<sup>®</sup> (1991-95)

- Nearly eliminated disease (1-3 cases/year)
- No effect on carriage (8.6%)

Tetramune® (1996-97)

• 10 cases/year

Reasons for reemergence of Hib disease

- PedvaxHib® did not eliminate carriage
- Tetramune® did not protect against early Hib disease

Both the population and the specific Hib vaccine may be important in control of Hib

\* Galil, et.al. Journal of Infectious Diseases, 1999; 179:101-6.



#### Combination Vaccine Product Identification

TriHIBit<sup>®</sup>

Tripedia® (DTaP 2 component) used to

reconstitute ActHIB®

Quadracel<sup>TM</sup>

Tripacel® (DTaP 5 component)

combined with IPV

Pentace1<sup>TM</sup>

Tripacel® (DTaP 5 component)

combined with IPV used to reconstitute

ActHIB®



#### TriHIBit® in Toddlers\*

	Immunogenicity			
,	Pre-Dose		Post-Dose	
	TriHIBit <sup>®</sup>	Separate Injections	TriHIBit <sup>®</sup>	Separate Injections
N	88	94	93	98
Anti-PRP (µg/ml)	0.89	1.15	90.30	80.90
% > 1 μg/ml	45.50	53.20	100.00	100.00

<sup>\*</sup> From FDA approved Product Insert

Anti-PRP Responses in 15 to 20 Month Old Children Following Immunization with TriHIBit<sup>®</sup> Compared to ActHIB<sup>®</sup> and Tripedia<sup>®</sup> Given Concomitantly at Separate Sites\*

#### TriHIBit® Infants

Immui	ne Response to PRP Post	t-Dose 3
Vaccine	Separate	Combined
N	69	75
Anti-PRP	7.0* [5.6 - 8.6]	4.3 [3.0 - 6.0]
$\% \ge 0.15 \ \mu \text{g/mL}$	100%*	94.7% [89.6 - 99.8]
% ≥ 1 µg/mL	100%†	85.3% [77.3 - 93.3]

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.05

† p < 0.01; difference of 14.7% (6.7 – 22.7) Immunogenicity and Lot Consistency of TriHIBit® Compared to Tripedia® and ActHIB® (Study 468-01)



## Adapted from Letter from CBER to Aventis Pasteur

In general, we concur with your definitions for determining the limits for non-inferiority and equivalence for GMT and seroconversion.

Seroconversion - 10% upper limit of the confidence interval

GMT - <1.5 fold difference between combined and separate group

>2/3 for ratio of combined to separate groups



# Pentacel<sup>TM</sup> in Infants Post-Dose 3

Comparison Diff. In %	(60% CI)	1		-1.56 (-2.41, -0.71	-4.15 (-6.93, -1.38	
Quadracel +ActHIB®	N = 108	3.83	(3.05 - 6.53)	100%	%6'88	
Pentacel	N = 321	4.40	(3.78 - 5.13)	98.4%	84.7%	
Criteria	•	GMT*		≥0.15 µg/ml**	>1.00 µg/ml**	
Antigen		Anti-PRP				

<sup>\*</sup>Mills, Elaine, et.al., Vaccine, 1998, Vol. 16, No. 6
\*\* Data on file